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Trade is a main engine of growth in Asia-Pacific

Comparison of export growth and GDP growth 2000-2007
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Legend:
- Blue bar: Annual percentage change of real GDP
- Red bar: Annual percentage change of exports
Smaller, developing economies increasingly rely on exports

Exports as percent of GDP, for selected countries, 1990-2007
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While exports are becoming more sophisticated across the developing Asia-Pacific region…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1990-1992</th>
<th>As % of total exports</th>
<th>2005-2007</th>
<th>As % of total exports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articles of apparel and clothing</td>
<td><strong>13.0</strong></td>
<td>Electric machinery, apparatus and appliances</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile yarn, fabrics, made-up articles</td>
<td><strong>8.3</strong></td>
<td>Petroleum, petroleum products and related materials</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric machinery, apparatus and appliances</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Office machines and automatic data processing equipment</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications, sound recording and reproducing equipment</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Telecommunications, sound recording and reproducing equipment</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum, petroleum products and related material</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Articles of apparel and clothing accessories</td>
<td><strong>6.2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous manufactured articles</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Miscellaneous manufactured articles</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office machines and automatic data processing equipment</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Textile yarn, fabrics, made-up articles</td>
<td><strong>3.8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footwear</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Road vehicles</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road vehicles</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Iron and steel</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-metallic mineral manufactures</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Gas, natural and manufactured</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total top 10 products</strong></td>
<td><strong>61.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total top 10 products</strong></td>
<td><strong>64.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
No such diversification in LDCs … quite the opposite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1990-1992</th>
<th>As % of total exports</th>
<th>2005-2007</th>
<th>As % of total exports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articles of apparel and clothing</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>Articles of apparel and clothing</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile yarn, fabrics, made-up articles</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>Textile yarn, fabrics, made-up articles</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish, crustacean and molluscs</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Fish, crustacean and molluscs</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather, leather manufactures, etc.</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Leather, leather manufactures, etc.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile fibres</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Textile fibres</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables and fruit</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Petroleum, petroleum products and related materials</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cork and wood</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Vegetables and fruit</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee, tea, cocoa, spices</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Footwear</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum, petroleum products and related materials</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Road vehicles</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizers, manufactured</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Miscellaneous manufactured articles</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total top 10 products</strong></td>
<td><strong>91.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total top 10 products</strong></td>
<td><strong>93.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Status of export diversification, selected countries, 2005
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Trade considered widely as part of national development strategy—improved competitiveness, diversification prioritized

- **Afghanistan**: In 2008-2013 National Development Plan committed to pro-trade policies, maintaining low trade barriers, improve inter-regional trade

- **Maldives**: In 2006-2010 National Development Plan committed to mainstream trade in economic agenda, promote exports/competitiveness, improve access to regional and international markets

- **Cambodia**: In DTIS 2007 emphasis on export diversification; development of critical legal and institutional infrastructure; sector specific intervention in high potential exports. Trade SWAP to deepen mainstreaming

- **Lao PDR**: National Development Plan committed to expand and diversify exports markets/products; increase economic integration; promote agricultural exports

- **Nepal**: Poverty reduction strategy places high emphasis on accession to WTO; creating favourable environment for export-oriented industries
Key challenges remain in mainstreaming

- Prevailing sentiment:
  "If trade is good for growth, and growth is good for the poor, then trade must be good for the poor”

- The reality:

- Important to mainstream **pro-poor trade**
  - Structure of exports—agriculture vs. manufacturing, skill intensity, labour intensity…
  - Characteristics of the poor—rural/urban; regional concentration; sources of income (labour, land)…
  - Addressing concerns of losers of trade liberalization—social protection; labour retraining…
  - Understanding complex relations between trade and poverty is important in making policy…
Key challenges remain …

• Policy coherence
  – Macro instability (high and variable interest rates and inflation) harms exports
  – Currency devaluation while good for exports may have severe impacts on poor households
  – Unilateral trade liberalization—negative impact on domestic industry, government revenues

• Policy co-ordination
  – Upgrading/diversifying exports may call for education reforms; formulating innovation strategies
  – Infrastructure for better trade goes beyond Trade/Commerce Ministries
  – Reducing poverty through trade needs wider involvement of Gvt Ministries, private sector, NGOs etc
Key challenges remain …

- Recognizing the need for “soft infrastructure”
  - Emphasis largely on physical infrastructure for trade—transport, ports, ICT
  - Institutional infrastructure equally important—trade facilitation, legal/regulatory systems, capacity building
  - Cumbersome procedures and excessive paperwork cost up to 10-15% of the value of goods

- Costing of resource needs/gaps (including aid for trade needs)
  - Needs assessment, prioritization, costing, integration into Medium Term Expenditure Frameworks
  - Cambodia trade SWAP a good example
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Making aid more effective

• Respect country ownership
• Deliver as One
  – Coordinated support—less administrative burden on countries
  – Budget support vs. project support
  – Innovative financing—parallel funding
• Provide more concessionary assistance with less conditionality
• Support less fashionable “soft infrastructure” and capacity building
  – Effective trade facilitation crucial to promote competiveness, diversification
• Facilitate countries to learn from each other
  – ESCAP/UNECE initiative UN NExT—A community of knowledge and practice in trade facilitation to share lessons and good practices
Thank you.
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