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Trends and Patterns of FDI in Asia

Asia is increasingly a magnet for foreign direct investment and a prominent global investor.

Over the past two decades, the benefits of increased trade and investment rewarded many Asian economies with strong economic growth and rising incomes. The proliferation of cross-border production networks created opportunities for even lower-income countries in the region to attract export-oriented multinationals.

Asia’s share of global inward foreign direct investment (FDI) has been rising. In 2016, almost 30% of global FDI went to Asia, up from less than 20% in 2000–2005. At the same time, better finance and structural changes to production created opportunities for major Asian firms to invest abroad, particularly within the region. In 2000–2005, Asia’s share of global outward FDI ranged from 10% to 15%. In 2016, Asia’s share rose to more than 30%—with more than a third originating from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). Outward FDI from Asia have been growing since 2010, slightly interrupted in 2012 and 2015, but regaining strong momentum in 2016.

Updates on Global Inward FDI to Asia

Global inward FDI fell slightly in 2016, with inward FDI to Asia falling 6.4% to $492 billion.

Based on standard balance of payments (BOP) data, global inward FDI totaled $1.75 trillion in 2016, down slightly from $1.77 trillion in 2015 (Figure 3.1). The uncertain global economic environment and geopolitical shocks may have helped dampen the 2015 growth rebound.

Inward FDI to Europe and developing Asia fell, while North America, transition economies and other advanced economies attracted more FDI. In Asia, the PRC; Hong Kong, China; Australia; Singapore; and India remained the main recipients (Table 3.1). Although Asia attracted 28% of global inward FDI, they were $34 billion below the 2015 level.

A steep decline in cross-border mergers and acquisitions—especially in services—was largely behind the overall drop in Asia’s inward FDI in 2016.

Based on firms’ investment activity data—which provides information on mode of entry and ultimate

Figure 3.1: Total Inward FDI ($ trillion)


Unless otherwise specified, FDI is a flow.

12 Investments can either be greenfield (building new assets) or merger and acquisition (acquiring existing ones).
Table 3.1: Top 10 Destinations of Global and Asian FDI ($ billion)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>391.1</td>
<td>348.4</td>
<td>198.0</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>174.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>253.8</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>Hong Kong, China</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>135.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>135.6</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong, China</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>174.4</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Virgin Islands</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayman Islands</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDI = foreign direct investment, PRC = People’s Republic of China.

Figure 3.2: FDI by Mode of Entry—Asia

FDI = foreign direct investment, M&As = merger and acquisition, ROW = rest of the world.
Note: Asia refers to the 48 regional members of ADB.
Sources: ADB calculations using data from Financial Times. fDi Markets; and Bureau van Dijk. Zephyr M&A Database (both accessed May 2017).

Investment ownership globally—mergers and acquisitions (M&As) have increased steadily since the GFC, driven mainly by M&As in Asia from the rest of the world (ROW) (Figure 3.2). This changed in 2016 as the number of M&As fell 51%—mostly in India and the PRC—as investments from outside Asia dropped. Similarly, the value of M&A deals fell by $42 billion, 15% below the 2015 peak of $272 billion. Services was particularly hard hit with M&As falling by more than half its 2015 level (Figure 3.3), of which FDI from ROW fell to just one-fifth of the 2015 total, or $50 billion (Figure 3.4).

However, greenfield investments continued to grow after having plateaued in 2012–2014 reaching $348.4 billion in 2016. New greenfield investments in India, Viet Nam and the Republic of Korea surpassed the number of M&As in 2016.
Update on Regional Trends

Inward FDI to major subregions in Asia generally fell as global investments favored more advanced economies; East Asia and Southeast Asia were most affected.

BOP data show inward FDI to Asia dipped both in absolute and relative terms—to $492 billion in 2016 from $525.4 billion in 2015. The region’s share of global FDI fell to 28% from 30% in 2015. Inward FDI to East Asia and Southeast Asia dropped by 14% and 20%, respectively (Figure 3.5). The downturn in East Asia was due to a $66-billion drop in inward FDI to Hong Kong, China—more than the combined increase in inward FDI to Japan, the Republic of Korea; and Taipei, China. East Asia still attracts more than half of FDI inflows to the region.

In 2016, Southeast Asia received $101 billion in FDI, down from $127 billion in 2015. Its share, 20% of Asia’s total, was below its average 25% share during 2010–2015 and the lowest since 2010. A drop in M&As from North America, Latin America, and ROW, especially in the primary sector was behind the slowdown. Singapore, Indonesia, and Malaysia accounted for the largest shares of FDI into Southeast Asia from 2010 to 2015—averaging 53%, 16% and 9%, respectively—but this
Despite the slowdown in inward FDI worldwide, intraregional investment continues to strengthen—the intraregional share of inward FDI to Asia increased from 32% in 2007 to 55% in 2016 (from $112 billion to $272 billion).

Buying into Asia-based enterprises has been notable among emerging Asian investors. The PRC, Japan, and Singapore continue to diversify their international portfolios in developing Asian economies (such as in Indonesia and India, and from others into the PRC) to gain competitive advantage in broadening manufacturing networks, securing export markets and driving innovation.

During 2010–2015, about half of inward FDI to Asia based on BOP data were intraregional (Figure 3.6a). In 2016, despite the drop in inward FDI worldwide, intraregional inflows in Asia increased by 9 percentage points. FDI from Hong Kong, China accounted for the lion’s share of intra-Asian FDI (nearly 37%), followed by Singapore (16%), Japan (16%), and the PRC (16%).

Using firm-level data to trace ultimate investment ownership—BOP data do not—the increase in intraregional FDI was even more substantial, from $232 billion in 2015 to $292 billion in 2016 (Figure 3.6b). The share of intraregional FDI to East Asia was lower than indicated by BOP data, and Southeast Asia ranked highest based on the firm-level data. This suggests that BOP may inflate intraregional inward FDI to East Asia, possibly due to transshipments and round-tripping. Except for East Asia, all subregions showed higher intraregional inward FDI based on firm-level data, indicating that a portion of intra-Asian flows were routed from outside the region, which BOP fails to record.

From both BOP data and firm level activity, FDI links both within and across subregions are strengthening, although integration levels vary by subregion (Figure 3.7). Over 2001–2016, BOP data show the share of inter-subregional investment to total inward FDI gradually grew from 9% to 20%, mainly at the expense of FDI from the ROW. This trend accompanied the strengthening of trade linkages between subregions, highlighting the complementarity of trade and investment in the context of cross-border production networks between subregions. However, most intraregional FDI occurs...
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FDI = foreign direct investment, M&As = mergers and acquisitions.
Notes: For the right panel chart, values and shares are based on the sum of greenfield FDI and M&A deal values. Asia refers to the 48 regional members of ADB.

Figure 3.6: Intraregional FDI Inflows—Asia

Figure 3.7: Regional FDI Share—Asia (%)

FDI = foreign direct investment.

Notes: For the right panel chart, values and shares are based on the sum of greenfield FDI and M&A deal values. Asia refers to the 48 regional members of ADB.

Outward FDI

Lower outward investment from advanced economies reduced global outward FDI in 2016.

Based on BOP data, global outward FDI in 2016 were 9% below 2015 levels (Figure 3.8). Outward investment from advanced economies fell 11% to $1 trillion in 2016. The largest drop was in Ireland, Switzerland and Germany—together investing $254 billion less than in 2015.

Despite weakening outward FDI worldwide, Asia’s outward FDI increased 11% in 2016.

BOP-based outward FDI from Asia reached $482 billion in 2016, up 11% from 2015—91% by value came from East Asia (Figure 3.9). The PRC was the second-largest global investor in 2016, up from fifth in 2015. It is also the largest Asian investor, with $183.1 billion invested globally and over 75% outside Asia. Japan’s outward FDI surged 13% to $145 billion in 2016, its largest expansion...
since 2010 (around 70% directed outside the region). In Southeast Asia, Thailand increased investments eightfold in 2016 to $13.2 billion (its largest investment overseas since 2000). The most significant drop was in Indonesia—outward investment fell $18.4 billion.

**Firms in Asia are bolstering their status as global investors.**

Outward FDI from the region began to exceed inward FDI in 2013, when economies such as Hong Kong, China and the PRC increased outward investments, while investments from the US and Europe slowed (Figure 3.10).

At the firm-level, the value of combined outward greenfield and M&A FDI exceeded inward FDI by $90.6 billion in 2016 (Figure 3.11). The increase in intra-Asian FDI narrowed the gap between inward and outward FDI, especially in 2016. But a large part...
of greenfield investments and M&A deals still are targeted outside Asia. In particular, Asian investors are increasingly investing outside the region through M&As—$250 billion in 2016 compared with just over $100 billion in 2015. For instance, PRC investments in the US (both greenfield and M&As) reached $46 billion in 2003–2015 (36% in real estate and financial services).

A large part of intraregional FDI is greenfield investment, mainly in manufacturing, while M&As are more dominant for FDI going outside the region.

Aggregating greenfield investments and M&As show almost 50% of Asia’s investments are intraregional, followed by the European Union (EU)-28 (19%) and North America (15%). Intra-Asian greenfield investments ($189 billion) are almost twice as large as M&As (Figure 3.12). This is expected as a substantial amount of intra-Asian FDI is linked to global value chains, which generally take the greenfield mode of entry. Most intraregional greenfield investments are in manufacturing, which rapidly increased since 2012 to reach $139 billion (73% of the total) in 2016. In contrast, intraregional M&As are mostly in services—$72 billion (or 70% of total) in 2016. There was a jump in intra-Asia M&As in manufacturing between 2015 and 2016, due to an influx of manufacturing M&As in Thailand; Viet Nam; and Hong Kong, China.

In contrast, Asian investments outside the region were mostly in M&As, far exceeding greenfield investments—$254 billion in M&As versus $127 billion in greenfield investments. This suggests extraregional FDI remains mostly market-seeking (Figure 3.13). Manufacturing accounted for almost two-thirds ($81 billion) of the total greenfield investment. While Asia’s investments in services comprise a much larger share of M&As outside the region, manufacturing M&As more than tripled to reach $135 billion in 2016.

Asia’s emerging role as a global investor could further increase the intraregional share of inward FDI, and allow the region to leverage its own trade and investment linkages to achieve more inclusive and sustainable growth.

Asia has been and will continue to be a major driver of world growth—Asia accounted for more than half of global growth in gross domestic product and has been steadily increasing its share since at least 2012. Its stable share of global inward FDI (at least 25% since 2008) underscores the region’s reliability as an investment platform. In addition, the recent growth in outward investment from emerging Asian investors highlights the growing internationalization of Asia’s multinationals, which are increasing their global presence especially within the region. This is an encouraging sign for the region’s capacity to create jobs, promote small and medium enterprises and innovation, and advance income opportunities toward more inclusive and sustainable growth regardless of the external economic environment.

Figure 3.12: Intra-Asia FDI by Sector ($ billion)

FDI = foreign direct investment, M&As = mergers and acquisitions.
Note: Asia refers to the 48 regional members of ADB.
Despite the downturn in foreign direct investment (FDI) globally, Asia bolstered its role as dominant global investor in 2016. Based on balance of payments (BOP) data—which do not trace ultimate investment ownership—outward FDI (OFDI) from the region increased 11%. In 2016, the region’s share of global FDI was 33%, up from 27% in 2015.

Characterized by deeper financial markets and the growing internalization of its multinationals, East Asian economies were the largest investors from the region. The People’s Republic of China (PRC); Japan; and Hong Kong, China have consistently been among the world’s top 10 investors.a In 2016, these three economies invested $391 billion—27% of global investments and 81% of total outward investments from Asia.

Based on firms’ investment activity data—which does trace ultimate investment ownership—the increase in OFDI from Asia was even starker. M&As and greenfield investments grew 57% to $669 billion in 2016, accounting for 32% of the global total (up from 20% in 2015). Viewed against the 6.5% contraction in global M&As and greenfield investments, the brisk pace of FDI outflows from Asia illustrates the region’s growing integration, both intraregionally and even more so elsewhere. Intraregional investments have increased since 2013, reaching $291 billion in 2016 ($232 billion in 2015). But its share in Asia’s total OFDI declined 11 percentage points (to 43%).

OFDI from the region increasingly enters markets through M&As—Asia’s share of global M&As more than doubled to 26% in 2016 (up 109%) to reach $354 billion. Greenfield investments from the region also expanded faster than the global average (at 23%) to reach $316 billion in 2016, or 41% of the world total. While greenfield investments are primarily concentrated in manufacturing (69% of total greenfield Asian outflows from the region)—and even more so intraregionally (73%)—M&As are mostly targeted at services (49%) especially within the region (70%). Manufacturing OFDI expanded most (67%), accounting for the majority (56%) of the region’s total 2016 investment, followed by services (33%). OFDI in the primary sector continued to grow in 2016 despite a global contraction.

The largest recipient industry for Asian investments is real estate (19% of total OFDI)—a mix of both manufacturing and services—primarily through greenfield investment. The second largest was financial services (13%), predominantly through M&As.a Semiconductors followed third (11%),

---

**Box 3.1: Outward Investments from Selected Asian Economies**

a For more details on the investment profile of the top 10 Asian investors, see http://aric.adb.org/aer2017…onlineannex1.pdf

b In fact, intraregional OFDI in financial services grew 260% against an 8% extraregional contraction.
with the vast majority also via M&As. Asian investments in real estate and financial services were mostly intraregional (24% and 20% of total intra-Asian FDI, respectively). Semiconductors were the fastest growing, with Asian investments increasing more than eight times to $76 billion, almost entirely through M&As outside the region.

The PRC emerged as the largest Asian investor in 2016. Prior to the global financial crisis (GFC), Japan’s OFDI was, on average, five times the size of PRC investments. Since then, the PRC has grown to become dominant investor in all sectors, but particularly in services, accounting for 45% of total Asian OFDI. As the PRC moves away from export-oriented manufacturing toward more domestic consumption demand, the character of PRC investment has changed as well. In 2016, services accounted for 67% of M&As by the PRC, and more than tripled in value ($88 billion)—with intraregional M&As spiking tenfold (to $50 billion)—mainly in real estate and financial services in the United States (US) and Hong Kong, China. In contrast, most Japanese investments are in manufacturing, with semiconductors the largest recipient industry. Most of Japan’s OFDI (73%) is targeted outside the region, while its most popular destination in Asia is the PRC.

Hong Kong, China is the third-largest Asian investor based on BOP data, but firm-level data show investment activity is $20 billion lower than indicated by BOP. This suggests the economy is a conduit for investments originating elsewhere. Hong Kong, China’s investments abroad reached $40.2 billion in 2016, based on firm-level data, mainly directed to non-Asian countries. The top recipients within Asia, Thailand and the PRC, each accounted for about 14% of its total outbound FDI. Its investment portfolio favors business and real estate services, mainly through cross-border M&As, while the majority of its manufacturing investments are in consumer products.

Most of the increase in the Republic of Korea’s foreign investments in 2016 was in the primary sector—especially coal, oil and natural gas (almost a quarter of OFDI)—but greenfield investments in manufacturing continued to make up the lion’s share. In a marked reversal from 2015, only 50% of OFDI from the Republic of Korea was intraregional (74% in 2015), with Viet Nam and Islamic Republic of Iran as first and third largest destination, respectively. Multinationals from the Republic of Korea also invested considerably in alternative and renewable energy, mainly in the US.

In sharp contrast with other East Asian economies, investments from Taipei, China were mostly intraregional (83% in 2016)—the highest in the region. M&As in developing Asia drove much of its OFDI, which quadrupled from $3.7 billion in 2010 to $14.8 billion in 2016. Taipei, China’s investments are primarily concentrated in labor-intensive manufacturing such as electronic components and consumer electronics, as well as services such as financial services. Partner firms in the PRC accounted for almost half (46.1%) of Taipei, China’s OFDI, followed by Viet Nam (13.1%). Low labor costs, among other factors, attract export-oriented multinationals from Taipei, China.

Outside East Asia, Singapore is the dominant investor, with its OFDI mostly heading to the US (56.1%), followed by the PRC (7.8%) and India (7.4%). Since 2003, Singapore’s outward investments have been mostly in services. But recently it has shifted into manufacturing, with semiconductors accounting for almost half of all Singaporean investments in 2016.

In addition to Taipei, China; Thailand; Malaysia; and India were among source economies with an intraregional share of OFDI above 50%. Since 2000, Malaysia and India have emerged as prominent Asian investors. While interrupted by the GFC, Malaysia’s OFDI returned to growth in 2011, increasing 6% during 2010–2016 to $28.7 billion. In 2016, Malaysian OFDI grew fastest among Asian economies (164%). Traditionally, Malaysian investments have been in the primary sector (especially coal, oil and natural gas), but shifted markedly toward services, particularly financial services, in 2016.

By contrast, India’s OFDI slowed from the GFC to 2015, before recovering 17.0% in 2016 to $21.1 billion—still less than half its 2007 peak. While India’s emergence as a notable investor was driven by services—software, information technology and financial services to the United Kingdom (UK) and the US—the 2016 recovery was largely from greenfield investments in coal, oil and natural gas (Australia attracted about 20% of the total). India’s multinationals also invested in pharmaceuticals in Bangladesh.

Unlike Malaysia and India, Thailand’s OFDI have been consistently increasing despite the GFC, expanding from $2.3 billion in 2007 to $17.2 in 2016 (84% intraregional). The spike since 2011 has been driven mainly by greenfield real-

---

1 Hong Kong, China is the only top-10 source economy with outflows overestimated, rather than underestimated, by BOP data.
estate investments in the manufacturing sectors of neighboring economies, with Viet Nam attracting almost a third of the total in 2016.

Among the top Asian investors, Australia’s investment fell 30% in 2016. A majority were greenfield investments in manufacturing and services, and mainly in non-Asian and advanced economies, primarily the UK.

A regression analysis based on gravity modeling sheds more light on the drivers of Asian OFDI in comparison with a global sample. The analysis also examines the drivers of intra-Asian FDI. The host economy’s business environment (as measured by World Bank’s Ease of Doing Business indicators) and quality of governance (from the Worldwide Governance Indicators) are the most important policy determinants of FDI, particularly from Asia’s source economies (box table). For Asia’s investors, the quality of governance is even more important when investing within the region, most crucial in services. With all else equal, an improvement of the governance score from median to the top quartile is associated with a 28% increase in Asian

### Effects of Governance and Business Environment on FDI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable:</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All sample</td>
<td>Source: Asia</td>
<td>Intra-Asia</td>
<td>All sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Ease of Doing Business Index - host (expected sign = plus)</td>
<td>0.022*** (0.004)</td>
<td>0.007*** (0.009)</td>
<td>0.021 (0.015)</td>
<td>0.013 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall World Governance Index - host (expected sign = plus)</td>
<td>0.012*** (0.004)</td>
<td>0.016*** (0.005)</td>
<td>0.024*** (0.010)</td>
<td>0.000 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(Population - host)</td>
<td>0.669*** (0.020)</td>
<td>0.595*** (0.038)</td>
<td>0.439*** (0.036)</td>
<td>0.345*** (0.037)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(PCGDP - host)</td>
<td>0.263*** (0.046)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.078)</td>
<td>-0.143 (0.120)</td>
<td>-0.386*** (0.120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Rate - host</td>
<td>2.158** (0.902)</td>
<td>2.703** (1.105)</td>
<td>3.592*** (1.469)</td>
<td>1.638 (1.625)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation Rate - host</td>
<td>0.000 (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.010 (0.018)</td>
<td>-0.057*** (0.017)</td>
<td>0.009*** (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(Distance between source and host)</td>
<td>-0.449*** (0.039)</td>
<td>-0.489*** (0.086)</td>
<td>-0.415*** (0.116)</td>
<td>-0.023 (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common language (&gt;1 if yes)</td>
<td>0.724*** (0.113)</td>
<td>0.870*** (0.116)</td>
<td>0.824*** (0.129)</td>
<td>1.066*** (0.202)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contiguity (&gt;1 if yes)</td>
<td>0.062 (0.137)</td>
<td>0.301* (0.177)</td>
<td>0.382** (0.164)</td>
<td>0.292 (0.337)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-16.758*** (0.629)</td>
<td>-10.985*** (1.210)</td>
<td>-8.009*** (1.172)</td>
<td>-10.377*** (1.352)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>19015</td>
<td>4485</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>2994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** = significant at 1%; ** = significant at 5%; * = significant at 10%; FDI = foreign direct investment; PCGDP = per capita gross domestic product.

Note: Estimates are obtained with Poisson Pseudo-Maximum Likelihood estimator. Source country-period fixed effects and period fixed effects are included but not shown for brevity. Standard errors in parenthesis are based on clustering by country-year. Data cover 172 host economies and 159 home economies, for 2003–2015. Asia refers to the 48 regional members of ADB.
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investment projects, and 42% when the host economy is also Asian. In the services sector, the corresponding marginal impact is 35% and 85%, respectively. The growth rate of the host economy also matters significantly more for attracting intra-Asian OFDI in both manufacturing and services.

There are some interesting differences between the global and Asian sample. Results suggest that Asia’s multinationals are motivated by efficiency-seeking considerations (such as lower labor costs) when investing in manufacturing within the region. This reinforces the view that intra-Asian investments are tied to regional value chains (more so for greenfield investments). This contrasts with the global sample where per capita gross domestic product of the host economy is positively associated with the number of FDI projects. Asia’s investors are even more likely to invest in manufacturing in economies sharing a border, pointing to a high level of intra-subregional investment integration. Asian manufacturing investments in economies sharing a border are 67% higher, whereas there is no similar positive association between contiguity and FDI in the global sample.

Despite the increasingly inward-oriented policies in certain advanced economies, recent trends in Asia’s OFDI and the findings of the regression analysis are encouraging for the region’s developing economies. Improving governance will help these economies to continue attracting export-oriented multinationals from the region, despite the uncertain global economic environment.
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