



ASIAN ECONOMIC INTEGRATION REPORT 2021

MAKING DIGITAL PLATFORMS WORK
FOR ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

FEBRUARY 2021

ASIAN ECONOMIC INTEGRATION REPORT 2021

MAKING DIGITAL PLATFORMS WORK
FOR ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

FEBRUARY 2021



Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO license (CC BY 3.0 IGO)

© 2021 Asian Development Bank
6 ADB Avenue, Mandaluyong City, 1550 Metro Manila, Philippines
Tel +63 2 8632 4444; Fax +63 2 8636 2444
www.adb.org

Some rights reserved. Published in 2021.

ISBN 978-92-9262-715-7 (print); 978-92-9262-716-4 (electronic); 978-92-9262-717-1 (ebook)
Publication Stock No. TCS210048-2
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22617/TCS210048-2>

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Asian Development Bank (ADB) or its Board of Governors or the governments they represent.

ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this publication and accepts no responsibility for any consequence of their use. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by ADB in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

By making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area, or by using the term “country” in this document, ADB does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO license (CC BY 3.0 IGO) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/>. By using the content of this publication, you agree to be bound by the terms of this license. For attribution, translations, adaptations, and permissions, please read the provisions and terms of use at <https://www.adb.org/terms-use#openaccess>.

This CC license does not apply to non-ADB copyright materials in this publication. If the material is attributed to another source, please contact the copyright owner or publisher of that source for permission to reproduce it. ADB cannot be held liable for any claims that arise as a result of your use of the material.

Please contact pubsmarketing@adb.org if you have questions or comments with respect to content, or if you wish to obtain copyright permission for your intended use that does not fall within these terms, or for permission to use the ADB logo.

Corrigenda to ADB publications may be found at <http://www.adb.org/publications/corrigenda>.

Notes:

In this publication, “\$” refers to United States dollars, unless otherwise stated.
All masthead photos are from ADB.

ADB recognizes “China” as the People’s Republic of China; “Hong Kong” as Hong Kong, China; “Korea” as the Republic of Korea; and “Vietnam” as Viet Nam.

Cover design by Erickson Mercado.

CONTENTS

Tables, Figures, and Boxes	v
Foreword	xi
Acknowledgments.....	xii
Definitions.....	xiv
Abbreviations.....	xv
Highlights.....	xvii
1. MANAGING GLOBALIZATION AND REGIONAL INTEGRATION POST-COVID-19.....	1
References.....	11
2. TRADE AND THE GLOBAL VALUE CHAINS: THE CHALLENGING TRADE ENVIRONMENT AND CHANGING GLOBAL VALUE CHAIN LANDSCAPE.....	12
Recent Trends in Asia's Trade	12
Asia's Intraregional Trade.....	17
Progress of Global and Regional Value Chains	18
The Impact of GVC Reshoring.....	22
Updates on Regional Trade Policy.....	25
References.....	38
Annex 2a: Analytical Framework of GVC and RVC.....	40
Annex 2b: GVC Diversification—Backward Linkages.....	41
3. CROSS-BORDER INVESTMENT: RIDING THE PANDEMIC TIDE IN CROSS-BORDER INVESTMENT	45
Updates on Foreign Direct Investment During the COVID-19 Pandemic	45
Policy Updates: Regulatory Restrictions and Screening in Foreign Direct Investments	52
Bilateral Investment Treaties and Foreign Direct Investment	56
References.....	66
Annex 3a: Supplementary Charts and Table on BITs and the Liberalization and Antidiscrimination Indicators	68
4. FINANCIAL INTEGRATION: HEIGHTENED FINANCIAL VULNERABILITIES AMID THE PANDEMIC.....	70
Recent Trends in Asia's Cross-Border Financial Assets and Liabilities	76
Looming Financial Risks Stemming from COVID-19.....	83
References	91
Annex 4a: Updates on Asia's Cross-Border Financial Assets and Liabilities	94

5.	MOVEMENT OF PEOPLE: PEOPLE MOBILITY HAMPERED BY THE PANDEMIC AND VIRUS CONTAINMENT MEASURES	100
	Migration.....	100
	Remittances.....	107
	Tourism.....	115
	References.....	132
6.	ASIA-PACIFIC REGIONAL COOPERATION AND INTEGRATION INDEX: REGIONAL INTEGRATION MOVING AHEAD AS MEASURED BY INTEGRATION INDEX.....	140
	Key Regional Integration Trends in Asia	140
	The Enhanced ARCII Framework	143
	Technology and Digital Connectivity: A New Lens for Exploring Recent Integration Trends.....	148
	Regional Integration in Asia: To What Extent Does Location Matter?	154
	References.....	158
7.	UPDATES ON SUBREGIONAL COOPERATION INITIATIVES	160
	Central and West Asia: Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation Program.....	160
	Southeast Asia: Greater Mekong Subregion Program	166
	East Asia: Support for Regional Cooperation and Integration Initiatives under the CAREC and GMS Subregional Programs and Knowledge-Sharing Activities.....	172
	South Asia: South Asia Subregional Economic Cooperation	175
	The Pacific: Crossing “the Last Mile”	179
	Unlocking the Potential of Digital Platform Economies.....	182
	References.....	185
8.	THEME CHAPTER: MAKING DIGITAL PLATFORMS WORK FOR ASIA AND THE PACIFIC.....	187
	Introduction.....	187
	Rise of Digital Platforms in Asia	187
	Benefits and Opportunities from Digital Platforms.....	202
	Challenges and Risks from Digital Platforms.....	233
	Preparing for Greater Digital Inclusion in Asia	252
	Conclusions and Recommendations	261
	Background Papers	265
	References.....	267
	Annex 8a: Data and Indicators Needed for Measuring Platform Economy.....	286
	Annex 8b: Key Technologies Critical to Growth of Digital Platforms and the Digital Economy	289
	Annex 8c: Trade and Employment Impact from Greater Usage of Digital Inputs, 2021–2025	291
	Annex 8d: Public and Private Platforms for e-Learning.....	295
	Annex 8e: Data Protection Measures, Select Asian Countries.....	297
	Annex 8f: Construction of the Digital Platform Penetration Index and Insights from the Network Readiness Index	299
9.	STATISTICAL APPENDIX.....	302
	Regional Groupings.....	302
	Table Descriptions	302

TABLES, FIGURES, AND BOXES

TABLES

1.1	G20 Action Plan in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic	9
1.2	Areas of Subregional Cooperation Efforts to Promote Recovery Post-COVID-19	9
2.1	Impact of Reshoring on Exports	24
2.2	Impact of Reshoring on Imports	24
2.3	Impact of Reshoring on Total Trade	24
3.1	Global Inward Investment by Destination Region, Q1 to Q3 2020—Greenfield FDI and M&As	50
3.2	Asian Inward Investment by Destination Region, Q1 to Q3 2020—Greenfield FDI and M&As	51
3.3	FDI Screening Criteria—Select Economies	55
3.4	M&A and Greenfield Variables, and BIT Provisions	62
3.5	M&A and Greenfield (Number of Deals/Projects), by BIT Family and Sector	63
3.6	International Investment Agreements and Types of COVID-19 Measures	64
3.7	Recommendations for Improving BIT Provisions in the Post-COVID-19	65
4.1	Impact of Nonperforming Loans on Banking Outflows from Emerging Market Economies	86
5.1	Number of Return Migrants during the COVID-19 Pandemic	102
5.2	Top 10 Economies Hosting Migrants from Asia and COVID-19 Cases	104
5.3	Remittance Inflows by Recipient Region	108
5.4	Remittance Inflows to Asian Subregions and Growth	109
5.5	Tourism Arrivals and Receipts in Asia by Subregion, 2018	121
5.6	Travel Bubbles in Asia	129
6.1	Dimensions and Indicators under the Proposed Enhanced ARCII Framework	146
6.2	International Internet Bandwidth by Regional Routes	152
6.3	International Internet Bandwidth Traffic for Selected Asian Economies	153
6.4	Results for Global Moran's I Statistic for the ARCII and Dimensional Subindexes	155
7.1	Selected Economic Indicators, 2019—CAREC	161
7.2	ADB Support for CAREC Countries in Response to COVID-19 Loans and Grants Committed as of 31 December 2020	162
7.3	Selected Economic Indicators, 2019—Greater Mekong Subregion	167
7.4	Selected Economic Indicators, 2019—SASEC	176
8.1	Selected Definitions of the Digital Platform	189
8.2	Examples of Platform Typologies	191
8.3	Digital Revenue by Region, 2019	193
8.4	Growth of Digital Revenue, 2019	194
8.5	Digital Revenue, 2019	194
8.6	Total Users in 2019 and Growth Rate in 2018–2019	196
8.7	Digital Revenue by Asian Subregion, 2019	197
8.8	GDP Impact from Greater Usage of Digital Inputs, 2021–2025	199
8.9	Customs Administration Challenges Related to Cross-Border E-commerce	212
8.10	Major Global OTAs and Travel Metasearch Companies	214
8.11	Impact Scenarios of COVID-19 on Asian Tourism	215
8.12	Labor Oversupply in One Major Platform	220

8.13	Delivery and Transport Services Online Platforms	222
8.14	Access to Connectivity	226
8.15	Connectivity for Home Learning	226
8.16	Progress in Selected Asian Economies in Solving Challenges of the Digital Economy	251
8.17	Digital Platform Penetration Index, 2019	254
8.18	Digital Skills by Region and Income Group	260

FIGURES

1.1	Global COVID-19 Confirmed Cases, By Region	1
1.2	Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Stringency Index—Asia, 2020	2
1.3	Intraregional Trade Value Growth—Asia	3
1.4	Foreign Direct Investments—Selected Economies	3
1.5	Nonresident Portfolio Flows—Emerging Asia	3
1.6	Growth in Tourist and Visitor Arrivals—Selected Economies	4
1.7	Remittances to Selected Countries in Asia, January–November 2020	4
1.8	Economic Growth Forecasts for 2020	5
1.9	Change in Poverty Headcount and Trade Openness	6
1.10	Trade in Services Growth—Developing Asia	7
2.1	Monthly Trade, by Value and Volume—Asia	13
2.2	Monthly Trade Volume Growth—NIEs, PRC, and Selected ASEAN	14
2.3	Global Business Confidence and Asia’s Trade Volume Growth	15
2.5	Number of Port Calls by Region	15
2.4	Global Trade—Weekly Indicators	15
2.6	Merchandise Trade Volume and Real GDP Growth—Asia and World	16
2.7	Trade Value—Asia and World	17
2.8	Intraregional Trade Shares—Asia, European Union, and North America	17
2.9	Intraregional Trade Shares by Asian Subregions	18
2.10	GVC and RVC Participation Rates	19
2.11	RVC–GVC Intensity—Asia, European Union, and North America	19
2.12	RVC–GVC Trade Intensity, by Major Sector—Asia	20
2.13	Overall RVC and GVC Participation—Selected Asian Economies	21
2.14	Complex RVC and GVC Participation—Selected Asian Economies	22
2.15a	Backward Global Value Chain Linkages	23
2.15b	Forward Global Value Chain Linkages	23
2.16	Number of Newly Effective Free Trade Agreements—Asia	25
2.17	Regional Trade Groupings Involving ASEAN+3	26
2.18	Potential Benefits of Regional Trade Agreements—Real Income Increases in 2030	28
2.20	Number of COVID-19-Related Measures Imposed by Asia, by Effect on Trade	29
2.19	Number of Nontariff Measures Imposed on Asia	29
2.21	Number of COVID-19-Related Measures Imposed by Non-Asian Economies, by Effect on Trade	30
2.22	2020 Timeline of COVID-19-Related Trade Measures, by Effect on Trade	31
2.23	Products Affected by COVID-19-Related Trade Measures, by Effect on Trade	31
2.24	Type of Measures Imposed, by Effect on Trade	32
2.25	Type of Measures Imposed by Asia, by Product Group and Effect on Trade	33
2.26	Type of Measures Imposed by Non-Asia, by Product Group and Effect on Trade	33
2.27	Types of Provisions on Quantitative Restrictions in Asian FTAs	34
2.28	Evolution of Provisions on Quantitative Restrictions in Asian FTAs	34
2.29	Evolution of Provisions on Quantitative Restrictions in Asian FTAs, by Region	35

3.1	Global Inward Foreign Direct Investment, by Destination	45
3.2	Global Inward FDI, First Quarter Estimates, 2003–2020—Greenfield and M&As	46
3.3	Asian Inward FDI, First Quarter Estimates, 2003–2020—Greenfield and M&As	47
3.4	Global Outward FDI, First Quarter Estimates, 2003–2020—Greenfield and M&As	48
3.5	Asian Outward FDI, First Quarter Estimates, 2003–2020—Greenfield and M&As	49
3.6	FDI Regulatory Restrictiveness Index versus FDI—World	53
3.7	FDI Regulatory Restrictiveness Index versus FDI—Asia and Non-Asia	53
3.8	Number of Enforced Asian BITs and Regional Distribution, by Year	57
3.9	Trends in Investor–State Disputes in Asia	58
3.10	Description of New BIT Provisions in ADB Database	58
3.11	Average Score for BIT Provisions in Asia’s Bilateral Investment Treaties	59
3.12	BIT Provisions for Intraregional (Asia–Asia) and Extraregional (Asia–ROW) Agreements	59
3.13	Average BIT Provisions by Year of Signature or Enforcement	60
3.14	Liberalization Quality Indicator	60
3.15	Antidiscrimination Indicator	61
4.1	Volatility Index	70
4.2	Credit Default Swaps—Selected Asian Economies	71
4.3	LIBOR–OIS Spread—Global Financial Crisis versus COVID-19	71
4.4	Cross-Currency Basis Swap Spread against the United States Dollar	71
4.5a	Financial Stress Index—Euro Area, the United States, and the United Kingdom	72
4.5b	Financial Stress Index—Selected Asian Economies	72
4.6	Stock Price Index—Selected Asian Economies	72
4.7	Comparison of Equity Market Slump—Selected Economies	72
4.8	Nonresident Portfolio Flows—Selected Asian Economies	73
4.9	Nonresident Capital Flows—Selected Asian Economies	73
4.10	Exchange Rate, \$/LCU—Selected Asian Currencies	74
4.11	Policy Rate Cuts—Selected Asian Economies	74
4.12	Variance Decomposition—Equity Returns	75
4.13	Variance Decomposition—Bond Returns	75
4.14	Cross-Border Assets—Asia	76
4.15	Cross-Border Liabilities—Asia	77
4.16	Currency Composition of Asia’s International Investment Positions	79
4.17	Currency Shares of Asia’s International Investment Assets and Liabilities	80
4.18a	Currency Composition of Asia’s International Equity Investments	80
4.18b	Currency Composition of Asia’s International Debt Investments	81
4.19	Asia’s Trade with the United States and US Dollar Invoicing	82
4.20	United States Dollar and Other Currency Trade Invoicing	82
4.21	Currency Shares of Asia’s Trade Invoice	82
4.22	Sectoral Debt, 2010 versus 2020*—Asia	84
4.23	Corporate Financing—Emerging Asia	85
4.24	Development of NPLs, 2015 versus 2019—Selected Asian Economies	85
4.25	US Dollar Cross-Border Bank Holdings by Non-US Banks	87
4.26	Gross Cross-Border Bank Claims and Liabilities—Asia	88
4.27	Determinants of the Cross-Currency Basis Swap and Effect on Nonresident Capital Outflows	89
5.1	International Migrant Stock and Share of Migrants from Asia	100
5.2	Top 10 Sources of Migrants, 2019—Asia	101
5.3	Mobility Restrictions during the COVID-19 Pandemic—2020 Oxford Government Stringency Index	101
5.4	Migration to and from Asia, by Region	103
5.5	Asian Outmigrants by Subregion and Their Regional Destination, 2019	104
5.6	Employment Distribution of Migrants by Level of Skills	105

5.7	Migration from Asia by Subregion	106
5.8	Remittance Inflows to Asia and the World	108
5.9	Top 10 Remittance Recipients in Asia, 2019	109
5.10	Top 10 Remittance Recipients in Asia, 2019	110
5.11	Stringency Measures and Remittance Growth, 2020	111
5.12	Financial Flows to Asia	111
5.13	Intraregional and Extraregional Remittance Flows to Asia	113
5.14	Subregional Remittance Sources in Asia	114
5.15	International Travel Restrictions, 2020	116
5.16	Monthly International Tourist Arrivals by Subregion	117
5.17	Outlook for Tourism Receipts in Selected Asian Economies	118
5.18	Global Visitor Arrivals by Region of Destination	118
5.19	Visitors to Asia	119
5.20	Tourism Expenditure by Asian Economies	119
5.21	Tourism Expenditure per Outbound Tourist	120
5.22	International Tourism Receipts by Major Geographic Region, 2018	120
5.23	Top 10 Recipients of Tourism Receipts, 2018	122
5.24	Tourism Receipts per Arrival	122
6.1	Overall ARCII and Dimensional Indexes—Asia	140
6.2	Dimensional Contribution to the ARCII	141
6.3	Overall ARCII—Asia Subregions	142
6.4	Dimensional Subindexes by Subregional Cooperation Initiatives, 2018	142
6.5	Regional Integration Index, 2018—Asia versus Other Regions	143
6.6	Proposed ARCII Enhanced Framework	145
6.7	Proposed New Dimensions in the ARCII Enhanced Framework	145
6.8	Proposed New Indicators for Existing Dimensions in the ARCII Enhanced Framework	146
6.9	Research Outputs with Intraregional Collaboration	149
6.10	Number of Intraregional Research Outputs in Asia	150
6.11	Intraregional Patent Applications	150
6.12	Number of Intraregional Patent Applications in Selected Asian Economies	150
6.13	Internet Penetration	151
6.14	Internet Penetration for Select Asian Economies	151
6.15	Intraregional Internet Bandwidth	152
6.16	Intraregional ICT Goods Exports and Imports, 2018	153
6.17	Spatial Distribution of the ARCII, 2018	155
6.18	Spatial Distribution of ARCII Dimensions, 2018	156
7.1	CAREC Investments by Funding Source, as of 30 September 2020	161
7.2	CAREC Investments by Sector, as of 30 September 2020	162
7.3	SASEC Investment, Cumulative by Sector and Volume, 2001–2019	176
7.4	SASEC Projects by Sector, as of 2019	177
7.5	SASEC Investment by Sector, Volume, and Financier 2001–2019	177
7.6	Routine Immunization Coverage	180
7.7	Composition of Domestic Health Expenditure	180
8.1	Three Dimensions of Digital Transactions	188
8.2	Various Senses of the Platform Economy	189
8.3	Technologies Shaping the Digital Platform	192
8.4	Digital Platform Revenues—World and Asia, 2019	193
8.5	Digital Revenue in Asia, 2019	195
8.6	Digital Market Users, 2019	196
8.7	Size of the Digital Sector in Asia and the World, 2021–2025	197

8.8	Total Trade and Employment Impact from Greater Usage of Digital Inputs	199
8.9	Investment Requirement, 2021–2025	200
8.10	COVID-19-Related Changes in Asian Consumer Behavior and Retail Sales in the PRC	201
8.11	Adjustments of B2B Companies during COVID-19	201
8.12	Relative Importance by Noncash Payment Instrument	204
8.13	Trends in Mobile Money Transaction Volume and Value	205
8.14	Payment Methods for Domestic Remittances Transfers	207
8.15	E-commerce and Cross-Border Trade Linkages	209
8.16	E-commerce Platform Revenues, 2017–2018	210
8.17	Economic Activities and Terminology in Labor Platforms	218
8.18	Distribution of Online Work by Employer, Location, and Occupation	219
8.19	Internet Access in Schools	227
8.20	Electronic Health Records Adoption versus Internet Penetration	230
8.21	Expenditure on Social Protection in 24 Asian Economies, 2015	242
8.22	Tax-to-GDP Ratios in Asian Economies, 2018	247
8.23	Share of Respondents Who Expect to Shop Online More Frequently Post-COVID-19	247
8.24	Compliance to Exchange of Information Standards in Developing Asia	249
8.25	Share of Population Using the Internet	253
8.26	Awareness and Understanding of the Internet among Nonusers	256
8.27	Internet User Gender Gap	256
8.28	Indicators of ICT Access in Selected Asian Economies, by Gender	257
8.29	Selected Material Access Indicators, by Income Groups	258
8.30	Selected Material Access Indicators, by Region	259
8.31	Educational Level of Crowdworkers, by Platform	260
8.32	Earnings in Months with and without Platform Earnings in the United States	260

BOXES

2.1	Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Rules of Origin	27
2.2	World Trade Organization Information Technology Agreement	36
4.1	Global Financial Risk and Banking Sector Flows	78
5.1	Remittances and COVID-19—A Test of Resilience	112
5.2	Tourism Dependency of the Pacific	123
5.3	Government Measures to Support Tourism in Selected ADB Developing Members	124
5.4	The Potential of Domestic Tourism and Travel Bubbles	127
6.1	Emerging Trends in Regional Integration in Eurasia The Eurasia Integration Index	144
6.2	Recent Initiatives among Subregional Programs for Improving RCI Measurement	147
6.3	Extending the Research Agenda	154
8.1	Simulating the Benefits of Digital Sector Expansion	198
8.2	How Platforms Help Achieve Sustainable Development Goals	203
8.3	Exploring the Link between FinTech and E-commerce	206
8.4	E-commerce and Bilateral Consumer Goods Trade	211
8.5	Platform Work in the Philippines	221
8.6	The Benefits of Digital Health to Health Service Delivery Networks	230
8.7	Digital Health Governance Models	231
8.8	E-commerce and the Environment	234
8.9	Mergers and Acquisitions—Some Examples	235
8.10	Barriers to Multi-Homing	236
8.11	Digital Platforms and Mental Health	239

8.12	Key Features of Universal Basic Income	240
8.13	Cash Transfer Measures Related to COVID-19 Pandemic	241
8.14	Digital Platforms and Trust	243
8.15	Negative Impact of Base Erosion and Profit Shifting on Tax Revenues	248
8.16	Issues and Challenges Relating to Big Tech	249
8.17	Drivers of Digital Platform Penetration	255

FOREWORD

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic disrupted both supply and demand sides of an interconnected world economy during 2020. Asia and the Pacific was not immune. Lockdowns and travel and trade restrictions affected nearly all aspects of cross-border economic activity. Trade was hit hard as demand fell. Global supply chains were forced to adapt. Foreign direct investment slowed. Financial vulnerabilities were heightened. Overseas migration and worker remittances were severely disrupted. And tourism, important to many of the region's economies, was particularly hard hit.

The *Asian Economic Integration Report (AEIR) 2021* examines the initial impact on trade, investment, finance, and people's mobility across the region as the pandemic struck. The report looks at how regional economies individually or collectively respond to the crisis by, for example, leveraging rapid technological progress, digitalization, and increasing services trade to reconnect and recover. Although the pandemic is not over yet, the Asia and Pacific region has demonstrated a great deal of resilience, due in part to authorities' swift policy responses and regional cooperation efforts. And it suggests how better managing globalization and regional integration could help seize the potential opportunities as economies gradually recover in a post-COVID-19 environment.

The report also uses ADB's Asia-Pacific Regional Cooperation and Integration Index (ARCII) to help explain the state of regional cooperation and integration in Asia, across subregions, and in comparison to other regions in the world. Reflecting the growing importance of the digital economy and environmental sustainability for the region's cooperation efforts, this year's ARCII adds two new dimensions to the index: (i) technology and digital connectivity, and (ii) environmental cooperation and regional public goods. It also analyzes how a country's geographic position influences its level of regional integration.

This year's AEIR theme chapter is on digital platforms and how they can accelerate digital transformation across the region. Digital platforms continue to transform the way we work, socialize, and create economic value. While digital transformation shows great speed and adaptability during the pandemic, new risks and challenges such as digital divide and cybersecurity issues come to light. This underscores the importance and urgency for policies and regulations that can manage the disruptions and maximize gains from the digital economy. These range from widening access to promoting digital inclusion, e-health, and online learning, to ensuring data privacy and security, fighting cybercrime, and preventing cyberattacks, among others. While coordination among national agencies is critical, regional cooperation needs will only grow in importance on critical issues such as data transfer, taxation, and the financing needed to boost support for sustainable and inclusive digital development.



Yasuyuki Sawada

Chief Economist and Director General

Economic Research and Regional Cooperation Department

Asian Development Bank

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Asian Economic Integration Report (AEIR) 2021 was prepared by the Regional Cooperation and Integration Division (ERCI) of ADB's Economic Research and Regional Cooperation Department (ERCD), under the overall supervision of ERCI Director Cyn-Young Park. Jong Woo Kang coordinated overall production assisted by Mara Claire Tayag. ERCI consultants under Technical Assistance 9657: Asian Economic Integration: Building Knowledge for Policy Dialogue, 2018–2021 (Subproject 1) contributed data compilation, research, and analysis.

Contributing authors include James Villafuerte and Rogelio Mercado Jr., with data support from Mara Claire Tayag and Pilar Dayag (Managing Globalization and Regional Integration Post-COVID-19); Jong Woo Kang, Marife Lou Bacate, Dorothea Ramizo, and Joshua Anthony Gapay (Trade and the Global Value Chains: The Challenging Trade Environment and Changing Global Value Chain Landscape); Jong Woo Kang, Rolando Avendaño, and Clemence Fatima Cruz (Cross-Border Investment: Riding the Pandemic Tide in Cross-Border Investments); Peter Rosenkranz, Rogelio Mercado Jr., Ana Kristel Lapid, and Dominique Hannah Sy (Financial Integration: Heightened Financial Vulnerabilities amid the Pandemic); Kijin Kim, Matthias Helble, Ma. Concepcion Latoja, and Won Hee Cho, with data support from Pilar Dayag and Sol Francesca Cortes (Movement of People: People Mobility Hampered by the Pandemic and Virus Containment Measures); and Rolando Avendaño, Clarisa Joy Flaminiano, Lovely Ann Tolin, and Bahodir Ganiev (Asia-Pacific Regional Cooperation and Integration Index: Regional Integration Moving Ahead as Measured by Integration Index). The chapter “Updates on Subregional Cooperation Initiatives” was consolidated by Paulo Rodelio Halili based on contributions by regional departments of ADB: Saad Abdullah Paracha, Xinglan Hu, and Ronaldo Oblepias (Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation subsection); Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) Secretariat (GMS subsection); East Asia Department's Regional Cooperation and Integration team (East Asia subsection); Ronald Antonio Butiong, Dongxiang Li, Aileen Pangilinan, Jesusito Tranquilino, and Leticia de Leon (South Asia Subregional Economic Cooperation subsection); and Cara Tinio, Rommel Rabanal, Inez Mikkelsen-Lopez, and Ki-Fung Lam (Pacific subsection).

James Villafuerte coordinated and contributed to the production of the theme chapter, “Making Digital Platforms Work for Asia and the Pacific,” with Josef Yap as the economic editor. Background papers were provided by Thomas Abell, Ma. Diyina Arbo, Jose Ramon Albert, Rolando Avendaño, Michael Bainbridge, Lora Kryz Baje, Eduardo Banzon, Connie Bayudan-Dacuycuy, Johannes Benjamin Bernabe, Sylwyn Calizo Jr., Kimberly Diet, Jessmond Elvina, Yueling Huang, Ryan Jacildo, Shanti Jagannathan, Yunkai Li, Philip Libre, Badri Narayanan, Aniceto Orbeta Jr., Francis Mark Quimba, Maureen Ane Rosellon, Peter Rosenkranz, Raymond Francis Sarmiento, Ramonette Serafica, Lars Serzo, Aiko Kikkawa Takenaka, James Villafuerte, Trevor Weltman, and Philip Christian Zuniga. Arjan Paulo Salvanera constructed and managed the internal digital platform databases, with support from Eva Marie Aragones, and provided data assistance to authors. Mara Claire Tayag provided the overall research support, along with Paulo Rodelio Halili, and Marilyn Parra, with assistance from Joshua Anthony Gapay. The theme chapter benefited from comments and suggestions provided by the participants of the following workshops and events: “The Emergence of the Platform Economy: Challenges and Opportunities in Developing Asia” held on 5–6 December 2019 in ADB headquarters, Manila; “Virtual Conference on Making Digital Platforms Work for Asia” held on 25–26 June 2020, ADB headquarters, Manila; “AEIR 2021 Theme Chapter Internal Workshop on Making Digital Platforms Work for Asia and the Pacific” held on 24 August 2020, Manila; and “Workshop: Asian Economic Integration Report 2021” held on 9 September 2020, Manila.

Guy Sacerdoti and James Unwin edited the report. Joseph Manglicmot typeset and produced the layout, Erickson Mercado created the cover design and assisted in typesetting. Tuesday Soriano proofread the report. Paulo Rodelio Halili, Aleli Rosario, and Carol Ongchangco helped in proofreading. Support for AEIR 2021 printing and publishing was provided by the Printing Services Unit of ADB's Office of Administrative Services and by the Publishing and Dissemination Unit of the Department of Communications. Carol Ongchangco, Pia Asuncion Tenchavez, Maria Criselda Aherrera, and Marilyn Parra provided administrative and secretarial support, and helped organize the AEIR workshops, launch events, and other AEIR-related seminars and briefings. Ami Takagawa, with support from Lean Alfred Santos, of the Department of Communications coordinated the dissemination of AEIR 2021.

DEFINITIONS

The economies covered in the *Asian Economic Integration Report 2021* (AEIR 2021) are grouped by major analytic or geographic group.

- Asia refers to the 49 Asia and Pacific members of the Asian Development Bank (ADB), which includes Japan and Oceania (Australia and New Zealand) in addition to the 46 developing Asian economies.
- Subregional economic groupings are listed below:
 - Central Asia comprises Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan.
 - East Asia comprises the People’s Republic of China; Hong Kong, China; Japan; the Republic of Korea; Mongolia; and Taipei, China.
 - South Asia comprises Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka.
 - Southeast Asia comprises Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Timor-Leste, and Viet Nam.
 - The Pacific comprises the Cook Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, Fiji, Kiribati, the Marshall Islands, Nauru, Niue, Papua New Guinea, Palau, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu.
 - Oceania includes Australia and New Zealand.

Unless otherwise specified, the symbol “\$” and the word “dollar” refer to US dollars, and percent changes are year-on-year.

ABBREVIATIONS

ABEC	Almaty-Bishkek Economic Corridor
ADB	Asian Development Bank
AEIR	Asian Economic Integration Report
AMRO	ASEAN+3 Macroeconomic Research Office
APTA	Asia-Pacific Trade Agreement
ARCII	Asia-Pacific Regional Cooperation and Integration Index
ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nations (Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Viet Nam)
ASEAN+3	ASEAN plus Japan, the People's Republic of China, and the Republic of Korea
BIS	Bank for International Settlements
BIT	bilateral investment treaty
BOP	balance of payments
CAREC	Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation
CITA	CAREC Integrated Trade Agenda
COVID-19	coronavirus disease
CMIM	Chiang Mai Initiative Multilateralization
CPIS	Coordinated Portfolio Investment Survey
CPMM	CAREC corridor performance measurement and monitoring
CPTPP	Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership
CTC	change of tariff classification
CWRD	Central and West Asia Department
DVA	domestic value added
EARD	East Asia Department
ECD	economic corridor development
EU	European Union (Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czechia, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom)
EUA	euro area (Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Spain)
FDI	foreign direct investment
FSI	financial stress index
FVA	foreign value added
FTA	free trade agreement
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GDP	gross domestic product
GFC	global financial crisis
GMRA	Greater Mekong Railway Association
GMS	Greater Mekong Subregion
GVC	global value chain

HPV	human papillomavirus
IATA	International Air Transport Association
ICT	information and communication technology
IIA	international investment agreement
IIP	international investment position
ILO	International Labour Organization
IMAR	Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region
IMF	International Monetary Fund
ISD	investor–state dispute
IT	information technology
KNOMAD	Global Knowledge Partnership on Migration and Development
Lao PDR	Lao People’s Democratic Republic
M&A	merger and acquisition
MSMEs	micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises
MW	megawatt
NPL	nonperforming loan
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PARD	Pacific Department
PCV	pneumococcal conjugate
PRC	People’s Republic of China
RCEP	Regional Cooperation Economic Partnership
RCI	regional cooperation and integration
RDV	returned domestic value-added
RIF	regional investment framework
RKSI	Regional Knowledge Sharing Initiative
ROW	rest of the world
RVA	regional value chain
SARD	South Asia Department
SASEC	South Asia Subregional Economic Cooperation
SASEC OP	SASEC Operational Plan
SMEs	small and medium-sized enterprises
SEZ	special economic zone
SPS	sanitary and phytosanitary
TA	technical assistance
TAD	temporary admission document
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
UNWTO	United Nations World Tourism Organization
US	United States
VII	Vaccine Independence Initiative
VIX	volatility index
WTO	World Trade Organization

HIGHLIGHTS

Managing Globalization and Regional Integration Post-COVID-19

- **The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has weighed heavily on health and economic systems worldwide; it severely disrupted Asia’s cross-border trade and economic activities, and exposed vulnerabilities of global supply chains.**^a Yet, Asia immensely benefited from open trade and investment, with the region’s export-driven growth strategy and attractiveness to foreign direct investment (FDI) lifting millions out of poverty over half a century. The ongoing pandemic also highlights the benefits of rapid technological progress, digitalization, and increasing services trade in connecting the global economy even closer and providing new forms of global linkages. Intensifying these structural changes can be the basis of robust, resilient, and sustainable economic recovery. More so, the crisis creates an opportunity for greater global and regional cooperation to (i) contain and suppress COVID-19; (ii) strengthen global supply chains for essential commodities (including food, medical supplies, and vaccines); and (iii) make the world safer from natural hazards and pandemics by investing in projects with high social impact and economic returns.

The Challenging Trade Environment and Changing Global Value Chain Landscape

- **Asia’s trade growth was hit hard by the pandemic amid contracting global demand; nevertheless, recent high frequency data indicate a tempered yet gradual recovery.** Having peaked in 2017, Asia’s trade growth began to slow down in the second half of 2018. This came in the wake of rising trade tensions between the United States (US) and the People’s Republic of China (PRC) along with continued moderation in global economic growth. Asia’s merchandise trade volume already contracted 0.5% in 2019 after growing by 4.1% in 2018—a deeper slump than the 0.1% global trade contraction in 2019 (from 2.9% growth in 2018). By May 2020, Asia’s trade in volume had contracted by 10.1% year-on-year (y-o-y). Nevertheless, high frequency indicators—such as global shipping and packaging indexes and port calls—and recent monthly trade data suggest Asia’s trade growth could recover faster than anticipated. However, the persistent pandemic and risk of a double-dip recession could weigh on a sustained recovery. The region’s intraregional trade share remained stable at 57.5% in 2019, above the 56.5% average over 2012–2018. This remains higher than North America (40.9%) and lower than the European Union (EU) (63.2%).
- **Asia has relatively strong regional value chain linkages—as measured by the regional value chain to global value chain intensity ratio.** After peaking in 2011–2013, global value chain expansion has been stagnating in recent years. The reshoring of supply chain networks to domestic economies, while only partial, could significantly reduce international trade compared with the status quo. By reshoring production networks, a country usually intends to decrease reliance on intermediate goods imports and outsourcing of production and process them locally instead.

^a Asia refers to the 49 Asia and Pacific members of the Asian Development Bank (ADB), which includes Japan and Oceania (Australia and New Zealand) in addition to the 46 developing member economies.

Based on simulations using ADB's Multi-Regional Input–Output Tables—which can trace spillover impacts across trade supply chains—global trade is estimated to contract by 13%–22% when 10%–20% of overseas supply chains are reshored. The pandemic likely prompting an impetus to reengineer or diversify the existing supply chains, strengthening regional trade integration could help regional economies navigate the shifting global trade landscape to sustain trade growth. Against this backdrop, the region needs to embrace stronger trade liberalization and facilitation efforts, which includes pursuing trade agreements, in particular regional and mega trade deals.

Riding the Pandemic Tide in Cross-Border Investments

- Inward FDI to Asia slid by 7.7% in 2019 compared with 2018—at \$510.5 billion, while global FDI increased by 3.0%; investment flows both globally and to the region will likely fall further in 2020.** The drop in Asia's inward FDI in 2019 resulted mainly from weakening global demand for electronics and automotive products, as well as persistent trade tensions between the US and the PRC. Yet in 2019, Asia's intraregional FDI share remained stable at 51.7%. An expected decline in reinvested earnings during the pandemic—accounting for about half of 2019 global FDI—will likely reduce FDI inflows in 2020. Asian economies were among the hardest hit globally in reduced FDI inflows during the first quarter of 2020. Greenfield investments and mergers and acquisitions (M&As) in the region declined by 35.2% and 27.8% y-o-y, respectively (compared with global contractions of 27.0% and 49.2%). Globally, as well as regionally, investments in coal, oil, and gas plunged significantly in the first quarter of 2020, as did investments in hotels and tourism. This was followed by real estate, leisure, entertainment, and transportation. Nevertheless, recent firm-level activity in M&As in the region shows signs of recovery during the second quarter, as countries started to reopen and ease some pandemic-related restrictions.
- Asia's outward investment rose 4.3% in 2019—at \$531.4 billion, it accounted for 40.5% of global outward investments; outward investment dropped in the first quarter of 2020.** Japan was the top source of global FDI in 2019, investing in the US, Australia, and Thailand, among others. Other top regional sources of global FDI were the PRC; Hong Kong, China; the Republic of Korea; and Singapore. Asia's outward investment was not immune to the pandemic impact. Firm-level data show Asia's outward greenfield investment and M&As declined y-o-y by 27.0% and 64.3%, respectively, in the first quarter of 2020. The PRC's investment in cross-border projects in transportation and warehousing, chemicals, and electronic components declined, as did Japan's M&A in pharmaceuticals. Nevertheless, Asia's outward FDI showed signs of a rebound in the second quarter of 2020, in particular for M&As. Globally, some countries have tightened FDI screening measures to safeguard key sectors from possible predatory takeovers amid the pandemic. While some were also intended to protect national security and public interest, FDI regulatory restrictions could hinder global FDI flows. While policy makers should be cognizant about potential investor–state disputes over policy measures taken in response to exceptional circumstances such as the pandemic, bilateral investment treaties could open more windows for new FDI inflows.

Heightened Financial Vulnerabilities amid the Pandemic

- COVID-19-induced economic slowdowns in the first quarter of 2020 led to a shift in investor risk appetite and increased financial volatility; this resulted in a flight to safety and tightened liquidity conditions for emerging market economies.** COVID-19 unraveled global financial markets in the first quarter of 2020, testing Asia's financial resilience. As liquidity conditions tightened and financial market stress emerged, by mid-March 2020 regional equity prices plunged by around 30% in several markets from their January values. Several of the region's currencies also weakened, while portfolio equity flows reversed—leaving an outflow of \$57 billion—in the first

quarter of 2020. However, swift policy responses by authorities across the region (and globally) helped ease liquidity conditions and restored investor sentiment by June 2020. Nevertheless, the risks of tighter liquidity and waning investor risk appetite remained large in the latter half of 2020 amid the rising numbers of infections globally.

- **Asia’s cross-border asset holdings and liabilities increased in 2019, following a slight dip in 2018; the region’s investors continued to hold considerably more assets and liabilities outside the region than within Asia.** Faced with common global uncertainties and concurrent policy responses, the contribution of global shocks to variations in Asia’s asset price returns rose sharply and remained larger than the share of regional shocks. Nevertheless, the extent to which external (both global and regional) shocks explain the variations of the local asset returns were relatively small compared with the 2008–2009 global financial crisis. As of the end of 2019, Asia’s investors continued to hold considerably more non-regional assets and liabilities than regional ones, with two-thirds held in economies outside the region. This highlights the region’s elevated financial market exposure to external growth prospects, investment sentiments, and liquidity conditions. Moreover, almost half of Asia’s international asset holdings are denominated in US dollar, and 25% of its external liabilities are in US dollar. Focusing on debt stocks, the share of US dollar denomination is higher at 63% of the region’s international assets and 50% of its liabilities. This reflects the dominance of the US dollar in the international financial system and the region’s reliance on the US dollar for its cross-border trade and financial transactions.
- **The pandemic has revealed several looming financial risks that policy makers in the region should guard against to safeguard regional financial stability.** Although early financial market jitters were quickly quelled through swift and aggressive policy interventions, globally as well as regionally, the region’s policy makers need to remain vigilant and monitor potential economic and financial risks. Policy responses, led by aggressive fiscal support during the pandemic—although necessary and appropriate—could accelerate debt accumulation across economies. This could possibly lead to a deterioration of debt quality post-pandemic. Risks associated with credit growth and debt accumulation by households and nonfinancial institutions could also threaten regional banking stability and undermine economic recovery. Furthermore, the pandemic exposed the Asian banking sector’s structural vulnerability given the liquidity mismatches associated with increased international activity and a reliance on US dollar funding by non-US banks. These looming risks call for strengthening regional financial cooperation to safeguard the region’s financial stability and resilience. While it is important to broaden and deepen local currency capital markets, the region needs to pursue further reforms and intensify efforts to strengthen financial safety nets.

People Mobility Hampered by the Pandemic and Virus Containment Measures

- **The health and socioeconomic impact of the pandemic and virus containment measures are hurting cross-border migration. The international migrant stock increased to 271.6 million in 2019 from 248.9 million in 2015, of which Asia accounted for about one-third (90.3 million).** The pandemic upended the trend in 2020. Migrants from top source countries in Asia—including India, the PRC, Bangladesh, Pakistan, and the Philippines—were hit hard while job and income losses had dire consequences for their families back home. Mobility and travel restrictions in major destination countries—including the US, the Russian Federation, and Middle East countries—hampered economic activity and led to massive repatriation of unemployed migrants. There were also the concurrent challenges to traveling back home. Outward migration from Asia had steadily increased, with 64.8% of Asian migrants heading outside the region in 2019. This was led by migrants from South Asia (45.3%) and Southeast Asia (24.2%), especially among the region’s skilled migrant workers, who continue to prefer developed countries and the Middle East over regional host economies. Meanwhile, intraregional migration remains an important source of international migration, especially for migrants in East Asia, Southeast Asia, Oceania, and the Pacific.

- **The pandemic breaks the growth momentum of international remittance inflows. Global remittance inflows reached \$716.7 billion in 2019, up by \$21.9 billion over 2018.** Asia received \$315.3 billion in 2019. Asia's largest remittance sources were the Middle East (\$100.4 billion), North America (\$78.0 billion), and Europe (\$45.6 billion). As these face major economic downturns, recipient countries are bracing for the consequences of lower remittances in 2020—inflows are estimated to decline by 7.4%. The impact is acute—particularly for the economies in Central Asia and the Pacific that rely heavily on remittances, and those who receive the largest remittances in terms of absolute value, including India, the PRC, and the Philippines. Despite the expected drop due to the pandemic-induced global downturn and adverse impact on migrant workers, remittance inflows will likely remain the key source of external financing in Asia, along with other types of financial flows such as FDI and tourism receipts, which are expected to decline more.
- **Tourism has been particularly hard hit by the pandemic; extensive travel restrictions and the fear of infection led to a sharp fall in international tourist arrivals in Asia.** Assuming that most travel restrictions will remain in place until the end of 2020, ADB estimates that international tourist arrivals in Asia will be 82% below 2019 levels. For highly tourism-dependent economies, the sudden fall in tourist arrivals is having severe economic and social consequences, within and beyond the tourism sector. As the recovery to precrisis levels is expected to take years, the survival of large parts of the sector is at risk. Prior to the pandemic, tourism was one of Asia's most vibrant and promising industries and an important driver of growth. Asia's tourism sector outperformed all other regions in the world. While global tourist arrivals increased at an average annual rate of 5.3% from 2010 to 2018, arrivals to Asia grew at an average of 7.2%. Increasing regional integration has also given Asia's tourism sector a strong intraregional component, with the share of Asian visitors traveling within the region rising from 74.0% in 2010 to 79.1% in 2018. Rebuilding tourism will likely follow a staged approach: first promoting domestic tourism, then establishing green corridors to allow safe travel between close partners, and finally a complete reopening to international travel.

Regional Integration Moving Ahead as Measured by Integration Index

- **Latest ARCII estimates indicate that regional integration in Asia rose slightly in 2018, mainly due to a rebound in the money and finance dimension.** Three dimensions of the Asia-Pacific Regional Cooperation and Integration Index (ARCII)—the movement of people, infrastructure and connectivity, and trade and investment—continued to drive regional integration in the region. In 2018, overall regional integration increased for most Asian subregions, with Southeast Asia remaining the most integrated, driven by trade and investment and movement of people. Performance across subregions varied. While weak infrastructure and connectivity weighed on regional integration in the South Asia Subregional Economic Cooperation and Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation subregions, accelerated infrastructure developments in transport, trade facilitation, and energy trade are poised to spur deeper future integration. Worldwide, Asia remains highly integrated, only less than the EU. The new ARCII framework adds two new dimensions: (i) technology and digital connectivity, and (ii) environmental cooperation and regional public goods. Overall, trends in technology sharing (including regional collaboration in research outputs and patent applications), and digital connectivity (including internet penetration and bandwidth), have improved in Asia over the past 15 years.
- **Geographic factors play a role in how integrated Asian economies are with other economies in the region. Spatial analysis using ARCII suggests that geographic position influences a country's level of regional integration.** An economy's level of regional integration is positively associated with its neighbors' level of regional integration and with its income. In Asia, high levels of regional integration are concentrated in Southeast Asia, whereas clusters of low levels of regional integration are found in geographically disadvantaged economies, such as

landlocked countries in Central Asia and sea-locked countries in the Pacific. The significant influence of a neighbor's level of regional integration underscores the importance of positive synergies and spillovers in pursuing regional integration—and calls for closer cross-border coordination and cooperation.

Theme Chapter: Making Digital Platforms Work for Asia and the Pacific

- Digital platforms are transforming how we work, socialize, and create economic value. A digital platform creates a virtual place for communities to interact and exchange information, goods, and services.** Examples of successful digital platforms include social media such as Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, TikTok, and Twitter; search engines and marketing platforms such as Google, Yahoo!, and Baidu; video sharing and music streaming platforms such as YouTube and Spotify; e-commerce such as Amazon and Alibaba; and service-sharing platforms such as Airbnb, Grab, Uber, and GrubHub. These digital platforms use data, search engines, and algorithms to (i) reduce the cost of acquiring and applying information, (ii) bypass intermediaries, (iii) reduce trade barriers, and (iv) use idle assets to lower production and distribution costs.
- With the rise of digital platforms, new business models have proliferated, offering enormous economic opportunities.** In 2019, digital platform business-to-consumer revenues reached \$3.8 trillion, equivalent to 4.4% of global GDP.^b Asia accounted for about 48% (\$1.8 trillion; equivalent to 6% of regional GDP), the US accounted for 22% (\$836.7 billion; 3.9%), and the euro area 12% (\$445.3 billion; 3.3%). Asia will continue its rise as a major player in the global digital platform market as wider access reaches more users and generates higher revenue growth.
- The COVID-19 pandemic is accelerating the digital transformation for businesses of all sizes and across all industries.** This accelerated digital transformation can potentially boost global output, trade and commerce, and employment. For example, a 20% increase in the size of the digital sector over the baseline by 2025 will increase global output by an average of \$4.3 trillion yearly from 2021 to 2025 (equivalent to 5.4% of the 2020 baseline) or a cumulative impact of \$21.4 trillion in 5 years. Asia would reap an economic dividend of more than \$1.7 trillion yearly (equivalent to 6.1% of the 2020 regional GDP baseline) or more than \$8.6 trillion over the 5 years to 2025. Global trade will increase by roughly \$2.4 trillion per year (5.5% of the 2020 global baseline trade) or \$11.8 trillion impact in 5 years to 2025. Asia's trade will increase by more than \$1.0 trillion per year (6.8% of the 2020 regional baseline trade) or \$5 trillion increase in 5 years. There will be about 140 million additional jobs every year (5.0% of the 2020 global baseline employment)—65 million new jobs per year in Asia (or 3.9% of the region's 2020 baseline). By subregion, greater digital use will boost the Pacific island economies most, followed by Central Asian and Southeast Asian economies. The reasons include the potential for (i) digital connectivity to help developing countries overcome challenging geographies; (ii) productivity and economic gains to allow leapfrogging; and (iii) stronger digitally enabled services trade to support inclusive growth.
- Policy support on multiple fronts can realize the potential gains from the digital economy.** The first is to improve digital infrastructure and connectivity—to deliver affordable mobile and broadband services and expand broadband internet access and coverage. While digital connectivity offers vast opportunities for developing countries to participate in international trade and move up the value chain, traditional trade and logistics related to physical connectivity can remain a significant barrier to the delivery of goods, even when digitally purchased. Notably, the gap in the logistics performance index between the best- and worst-connected countries remains wide. Continued digitization of customs clearance and border procedures is important, along with broadening access to

^b Based on Statista data covering (i) e-commerce, (ii) online travel, (iii) transportation, (iv) advertising technology (Adtech), (v) e-services, and (vi) digital media.

safe and secure digital financial services. Investing in training for digital skills and literacy by providing access to information and communication technology devices and online teaching platforms is critical. It is important to create a smart, robust, and transparent regulatory system to protect personal data, prevent illegal activities, and strengthen cybersecurity. A coordinated regional and international response is needed to develop digital tax policies and effectively plug tax loopholes in the digital economy.

- **However, several key reform areas should ensure that appropriate policies and regulations are in place to manage undue advantage and unfair disruptions posed by the emergence of digital platforms.** Like any new technology, digital platforms present a disruptive force to existing markets and market players. As they create new ecosystems where producers, service providers, workers, and consumers interact with new distribution channels, the massive network externalities generated disrupt traditional markets and exert dominant market power. While access to unique big data and the ability to use them exclusively offer digital platforms the opportunity to innovate and create new products and services, the immense data advantage can generate monopolistic market power, often raising privacy and cybersecurity issues for users and consumers.
 - **Competition:** Digital platforms are “double-edged.” While they can offer access to unprecedented opportunities for micro-businesses, they also tend to create one or very few “winners” due to strong network effects and large-scale economies. Authorities should craft policies that encourage fair competition and ease entry barriers. Authorities should promote interoperability across platforms to help market players collaborate and innovate to the benefit of consumers. Ease in multi-homing should be facilitated and switching cost ought to be lowered to enhance competition.
 - **Labor security and social protection:** As traditional labor relations and conditions no longer apply to digital platforms, online workers are often classified as contractors or self-employed, leaving them without social protection benefits. As youth employment is increasingly short-term intermittent, or involves non-standard work arrangements, the base of social security contributions is running thin. This widens coverage gaps, which could undermine the sustainability of existing social protection schemes and strain public finances as unemployed social assistance balloons. Thus, it is important to create a social protection system that is inclusive and universal, portable, linked to other initiatives, and digitally enabled. Especially in low-income countries, an unconditional cash transfer of uniform amounts to poor and vulnerable families can also help alleviate poverty, expand social protection to the socially excluded, promote social equity, and redistribute digital transformation dividends.
 - **Data access, privacy, and security:** As the data value chain rests on data access, use, and sharing, it is important that regulations foster greater transparency in using, sharing, and creating value from data. It is crucial to uphold data privacy, while ensuring that access to data and information is secure and not used to discriminate against specific groups; and that benefits are fairly and broadly distributed. Continuous cross-border policy coordination is equally important to address cybercrime.
 - **Taxation:** Taxing digital platforms is challenging. There are regulatory gaps and difficulties in identifying taxable digital activities as companies develop their businesses without physical presence within a specific tax jurisdiction, among many other issues. With increasing cross-border digital transactions, it is critically important to strengthen international tax cooperation and harmonization to plug loopholes and properly capture profits generated by the digital economy.

- **Policy makers should be flexible when setting policies and regulations and work together with the private sector to build open and innovative ecosystems for platform businesses; all while ensuring adequate legislation or regulations for data privacy, consumer protection, and cybersecurity.** Regulating rapidly evolving technologies is difficult. Legislating light-touch regulatory approaches to technologies that involve data processing and data protection may be helpful. This could be in the form of best practice guidelines, warnings, and advisories, along with better communication and closer coordination with regulated sectors. This will allow governments to supervise industry development while monitoring how the new technology develops and affects consumers. There are merits in adopting and issuing rules that allow certain, prequalified entities to soft-launch products in controlled environments or regulatory sandboxes. Policies that support innovation-driven entrepreneurship can also nurture platforms and ecosystems for platform-based businesses.
- **Despite rapid advances in technology and digital platforms, large segments of the population remain left behind.** Digital inclusion is crucial for technology and digital platforms to reach all countries and all levels of society. The key is to invest in digital infrastructure and connectivity to broaden physical access to mobile and internet networks and enhance access to education, markets, and other economic opportunities. The pandemic cast a spotlight on the digital divide in many aspects including remote work and distance learning during lockdowns and school closures. There are other significant digital readiness gaps based on location, age, gender, skills, culture, and social norm. Greater efforts should be made to narrow the digital divide within the specific context of each country and community; for example, through strengthening digital literacy and skills training for the poor, rural communities, women, the elderly, and unserved segments of the population. It is important to ensure that the digital divide does not undermine the development of inclusive digital economies during the digital transformation.
- **Upgrading education and labor market policies remains crucial for reaping the benefits of digital platforms and spreading the gains from the digital economy more widely.** Digital platforms hold great promise to scale up the coverage of education and learning—especially helpful in adapting to disruptions such as COVID-19 due to which over 1.5 billion students were unable to attend face-to-face learning. With the rise of online learning amid the COVID-19 outbreak, EdTech tools and approaches can be game changers in education in many ways and it is important to ensure that EdTech can be a catalyst in raising the quality of learning and addressing lags and deficits in learning outcomes. Creating an ecosystem for digital skills development and training, especially in technical and vocational education and training (TVET), will better prepare workers for the future. Developing arrangements for online quality assurance and credentials (such as micro-credentials and digital badges, among others) will help. Digital solutions can also help to provide lifelong learning opportunities for all and facilitate workplace-based training as workers face multiple transitions between jobs.
- **Planning and coordination among key national institutions can help ensure that digital transformation benefits all.** Innovation and digital platforms require new forms of coordination across public policy space and public-private partnerships. Currently, there is scope to better coordinate within government agencies in many economies in the region. Developing and implementing national strategies, enacting laws and regulations governing digital business activities, and adopting standards are all essential. It is important to resolve ambiguity over regulatory coverage. More importantly, governments need to build relationships with various actors involved in creating, diffusing, and utilizing the innovation, data, and services from digital platforms. Coordination is critical to avoid confusion. A responsive information dissemination system can also play a pivotal role in helping micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises understand the rapidly changing digital landscape, reduce costs, and increase market reach.

- **Regional cooperation must be strengthened to better address cross-border issues and challenges.**

Cooperation could focus on sharing country lessons and experience, conducting regional policy dialogues, and working together to collect data and produce knowledge products to understand how digital platforms can help or hinder inclusive and sustainable development. It is also crucial for intergovernmental forums and mechanisms to formulate regionally consistent frameworks, strategies, and regulations, especially on cross-border data transfer and international taxation. Finally, with limited fiscal and financial resources, a regional approach to raise finance for technology can support digital transformation. Generally, three key factors can help close the technology funding gap: (i) increasing the pipeline of technology projects; (ii) crowding in private capital; and (iii) mitigating the risks and costs of technology projects. Multilateral institutions can play an important role in building the trust and confidence of public, private, and personal stakeholders in this area of technological development.