

DISCUSSIONS ON THE EFFECT OF FOREIGN ENTRY REGULATION ON DOWNSTREAM PRODUCTIVITY: MICROECONOMIC EVIDENCE FROM CHINA

by Sai Ding, Puyang Sun, Wei Jiang

Zhihong Yu
University of Nottingham

Summary

- Q : Impact of FDI entry regulation on downstream firm productivity in China
- FDI entry regulation is constructed from the Catalogue for the Guidance of Foreign Investment from NDRC
- Finding 1 : in general there is decline of FDI entry barrier, according to the author's measure of regulation, but there exist sizable differences across sectors (mean -2.3 with std. 0.77)
- Finding 2 : Reductions in FDI entry barriers in upstream sectors lead to increases in downstream firm TFP
- Finding 3: finding 2 is robust to IV (India's deregulation)
- Finding 4 : finding 2 is strongest in sectors which (a) are closer to world technology frontier (b) have higher TS and LS with the upstream sector, and firms with (a) positive R&D (b) higher intermediate input shares

Comment 1 /

VA relative to studies on vertical FDI spill-overs

- This literature often focuses on the “backward” or “forward” linkages of FDI spillovers, with “foreign presence” of upstream/downstream industries at the RHS
- A number of papers focus on China (c.f. Hale and Long 2007 for a survey), with inclusive/mixed results, but drivers of the “foreign presence” variable remain a black-box
- Contribution of this paper ? Focus on a unique form of FDI policy of China – NDRC guidance of FDI
- Distinctive feature of this measure of FDI policy – (a) sizable variation across time and sectors (b) different categories – encourage, restricted, prohibited (c) NDRC policy potentially should have big impact on FDI
- These may help open this black-box and solve the endogeneity issues

Comment 1(contd.)

- Note that this paper only focuses on “prohibited”/”restricted” and label them as FDI entry barriers

$$Barrier_{jt} = \sum_{s=1}^n FER_{st} * w_{sj} \quad (2)$$

where $Barrier_{jt}$ is the upstream foreign entry barrier for downstream manufacturing industry j at time t ; FER_{st} refers to the FER indicator (either FER_1 or FER_2)

- But note that China’s FDI policy is two hands : “restricting” & “promoting”
- The “encouraged” category is ignored , which could be very interesting to explore further , and see how it differs from the “restriction” measures
- Suggestion:
construct a “promotion” measure based on the encourage category as a separate variable at the RHS

	1997			2002			2004			2007		
	E	R	P	E	R	P	E	R	P	E	R	P
Agriculture	6	4	3	11	2	3	11	2	3	12	3	3
Manufacturing	176	73	14	216	41	14	209	41	14	298	48	15
Services	3	25	14	35	32	18	36	35	17	41	36	21
Total	185	102	31	262	75	35	256	78	34	351	87	39

Notes: ‘E’ refers to the ‘encouraged’ items; ‘R’ refers to the ‘restricted’ items, and ‘P’ refers to the ‘prohibited’ items.

Comment 2/

Understanding NDRC's FDI regulation

- NDRC's FDI regulation is surely not random
- What are the economic determinants ? Mark vs Resource protection? Evolution over time?
- Political economics of FDI regulation ? SOE presence? environmental issues ? Structural reform ?
- Suggestion
 - Transition matrix between categories
 - Descriptive regressions with FDI restriction/promotion at LFS, and industry characteristics at RHS , e.g. SOE share , pollution, etc.

Comment 3

- Horizontal effect might be first order importance
- What is the direct effect of FDI regulation within the same industry ?
- Increase/decrease competition ? Spillovers ?
- Does the form of Foreign entry matter ? M&A vs Greenfield ? Export oriented vs Market seeking ?
- Suggestion
- Same specification/IV but with firm/industry performances at the LHS. (firm/industry productivity , concentration, etc.)

Other comments

- Endogeneity
 - Reverse causality. High barriers due to low upstream productivity
 - Omitted variables. Other measures of FDI incentives/disincentive, etc. preferential tax treatment ?
- Validity of IV and DID ? Section 7.1 should be expanded.
- Channels.
 - Need robustness checks to control for interactions with other industry or firm characteristics, e.g. firm size, K or L intensity of the industry
-